

LATHAM & WATKINS LLP
Daniel M. Wall (Cal. Bar No. 102580)
Timothy L. O'Mara (Cal. Bar No. 212731)
Kirsten M. Ferguson (Cal. Bar No. 252781)
505 Montgomery Street, Suite 2000
San Francisco, California 94111-6538
Telephone: 415.391.0600
Facsimile: 415.395.8095
Email: Dan.Wall@lw.com
Email: Tim.Omara@lw.com
Email: Kirsten.Ferguson@lw.com

ROBINSON BRADSHAW & HINSON
Nathan C. Chase, Jr. (Cal. Bar No. 247526)
101 North Tryon Street, Suite 1900
Charlotte, North Carolina 28246
Telephone: 704.377.2536
Facsimile: 704.373.3937
Email: NChase@rbh.com

*Attorneys for Defendants Charlotte Pipe and Foundry
Company and Randolph Holding Company, LLC*

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

A&S LIQUIDATING INC., on behalf of
itself and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

AB&I FOUNDRY, TYLER PIPE
COMPANY, MCWANE, INC.,
CHARLOTTE PIPE AND FOUNDRY
COMPANY, and RANDOLPH HOLDING
COMPANY,

Defendants.

CASE NO. 13-cv-04568-EMC
(and all related cases)

**~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER CONTINUING THE
CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE
AND DEADLINES UNDER FEDERAL
RULE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE 26, AND
EXTENDING TIME TO ANSWER OR
OTHERWISE RESPOND TO THE
COMPLAINTS**

[CIVIL LOCAL RULES 6-2 & 6-1]

Courtroom: 5, 17th Floor
The Honorable Edward M. Chen

[Caption continued on next page.]

LAS VEGAS SUPPLY, INC., on behalf of
itself and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

AB&I FOUNDRY, TYLER PIPE
COMPANY, MCWANE, INC.,
CHARLOTTE PIPE AND FOUNDRY
COMPANY, and RANDOLPH HOLDING
COMPANY,

Defendants.

CASE NO. 13-cv-04792-EMC

[Related Case]

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Based on the Parties' Stipulation, and good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED
THAT:

1. The CMC is continued, ~~based on the Court's availability, until at least forty-five
(45) days after the JPML resolves the MDL Motion.~~ to 6/19/14 at 9:00 a.m.

2. The Parties' meet-and-confer deadline pursuant to Rule 26(f) is continued until 21
days after the JPML resolves the MDL Motion.

3. The deadline for the Parties' Rule 26(f) report and initial disclosures is continued
until fourteen (14) days after the Parties' Rule 26(f) conference has concluded.

4. The time for Defendants to answer or otherwise respond to the Complaint is
extended to twenty-one (21) days after (a) Plaintiffs' file a consolidated complaint, or (b) if the
JPML denies the MDL Motion and this Court does not consolidate these related cases, twenty-
one (21) days after this Court denies the motions to consolidate.

Dated: 12/19/13

